

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 2'6 NOV 2003

		7 6 1164 F069				
Applicant's or agent's file reference P200200478 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Tanishikal of Internalities Preliminary Examination Report (Form PC TIPEASTS)				
International application No. PCT/EP03/02262	International filing date (day/mor	hth/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 22.03.2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or t	oth national classification and IPC					
Applicant SONY ERICSSON MOBILE COMM	MUNICATIONS AB et al.					
This International preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to the		ared by this International Preliminary Examining 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cove	er sheet.				
been amended and are the	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets basis for this report and/or she in 607 of the Administrative Inst	of the description, claims and/or drawings which have ets containing rectifications made before this Authority ructions under the PCT).				
Cose annexes consist of a total	of sheets.					
This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:					
I ⊠ Basis of the opinion	Juliang to the renorming terms.					
II Priority						
· ·	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV   Lack of unity of invent						
V 🛛 Reasoned statement	•					
VI   Certain documents cit						
VII   Certain defects in the	ts in the international application					
VIII   Certain observations	observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date o	f completion of this report				
03.10.2003		25.11.2003				
Name and mailing address of the internation preliminary examining authority:	nal Author	ized Officer				
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	Wika	nder, A				
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	356 epmu d	none No. +49 89 2399-2538				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP03/02262

I.	Basis	of	the	rep	ort
----	-------	----	-----	-----	-----

**Description, Pages** 

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	1-21		as originally filed				
		ms, Numbers					
	1-35		as originally filed				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/5-	5/5	as originally filed				
2.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which was the same and the same and the same are same as the same are				
		the language of a tra	nnslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (unc seasch (unc				
		the language of publi	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	With inte	n regard to any <b>nucle</b> mational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP03/02262

5. 

This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-35

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-35

Industrial applicability (IA)

No: Claims

1-35

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

The examination is being carried out on the following application documents:

Text for the Contracting States:

AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LI LT LU LV MC MK NL PL PT RO SE SI SK TR

Description, pages:

1-21

as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-35

as originally filed

**Drawings, sheets:** 

1/5-5/5

as originally filed

Reference is made to the following document: 1.

D1: EP-A-1 085 40 (1905) MOBILE PHONES LTD) 21 March 2001 (2001-03-21)

#### Re Item V

- The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter 2. of claims 1 and 18, and discloses a method of entering text into an electronic communications device similar to the claimed invention. Both D1 and the present application use the T9 technique (every key represents several letters and all possible combinations are compared with a vocabulary resulting in a list of allowable combinations) to obtain a list of possible syllables so the user can select one and display the Chinese characters (or other ideograms) corresponding to this selected syllable. The difference is that in the present application several syllables are presented and one is selected, whereas in the prior art the user only sees the selected syllable.
- 2.2 The effect is that the user can select one syllable from a list of syllables corresponding to a specific key sequence and after that select a character corresponding to that syllable and still see all the other syllables from the list. The problem solved may therefore be regarded as reducing number of key presses for

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

most characters and allowing browsing through characters corresponding to different syllables.

2.2 This is considered inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT because the two step approach with a first selection from a predicted list of allowable syllables and a second selection of the Chinese character wanted is not disclosed in the available prior art. The closest prior art mentions the T9 technique as an alternative but not in combination with a selection from a list and further states that this technique would generate too long candidate lists and therefore no indication can be found in the prior art to adapt an existing embodiment so as to arrive at the claimed subject-matter.